Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Applicati n No.	Applicant(s)
	09/740,582	NIU, MAN C.
	Examin r	Art Unit
	Stuart F. Baum	1638
All Participants:	Status of Application: pending	
(1) Stuart F. Baum.	(3)	
(2) <u>Charles N.Quinn</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 30 August 2006	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applican	oplicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: deposit rejection		
Claims discussed: 33 and 47		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GI See Continuation Sheet	ENERAL NATURE OF WHA	Γ WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separ directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separ did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sum 	. The examiner will provide a rate record of the substance	of the interview, since the interview
Han Boun		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Appl	icant/Applicant's Representa	tive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Office indicated claims 33 and 47 would be considered allowable if the deposit requirement was satisfied and if claim 47 was amended to be drawn to corn variety 85089 (as recited in numerous locations in the specification, for example, page 13 2nd paragraph). The Office indicated that if corn varieties 27-1 and 85089 are publicly available, then a deposit of said corn varieties is not necessary. If said varieties are publicly available, then the specification should be amended to recite how and from whom, one can obtain said corn varieties. If said corn varieties are not publicly available, then the corn varieties should be deposited as stated in the deposit rejection, set forth in the accompanying office action..